

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/667,671	TAKEI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Marc S. Zimmer.

(2) Krsten Gruneberg.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9-11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised that, in view of their amendments, there no longer was any antecedent basis in claim 1 for the limitations outlined in claims 9-11. Further, Applicant was advised that, were they to remedy this matter by re-establishing antecedent basis for these claims, the art of record would once again become a basis for rejection. Applicant authorized the cancellation of claims 9-11..